



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/629,241	07/31/2000	Maury E. Collett II	CLT-100	3877
23557	7590	08/02/2004	EXAMINER	
SALIWANCHIK LLOYD & SALIWANCHIK A PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATION 2421 N.W. 41ST STREET SUITE A-1 GAINESVILLE, FL 32606-6669			MORRISON, NASCHICA SANDERS	
		ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER
		3632		
DATE MAILED: 08/02/2004				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	09/629,241	COLLETT, MAURY E.
	<b>Examiner</b> Naschica S Morrison	<b>Art Unit</b> 3632

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) James Parker. (3) Anita King.  
 (2) Naschica Morrison. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 09 July 2004.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 14-16 and 18-32.

Identification of prior art discussed: Fearing '213, Deneke et al. '189, Rumbold '185, Smigel '316, Kirschenbaum '782.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

  
 Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Prior to the interview, applicant provided a courtesy copy of the response filed 6/28/04 for review and discussion during the interview. Examiner stated that applicant's arguments regarding the clip of Fearing interfering with the attachment of drywall to the stud were persuasive. The examiner advised applicant that the rejection of claims 14-16, 18-20, 24-27 and 30-32 based on Deneke, Fearing, Rumbold, and Kirschenbaum would be withdrawn and patentability would be determined after an updated search had been performed and the claims had been reviewed by the examiner's supervisor. Regarding claims 28 and 29, Examiner stated that applicant's arguments were not persuasive and thus the rejection of those claims would not be withdrawn.